UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/537,651	10/31/2005	Charles Mark Lindall	JMYS-128US	5162
23122 RATNERPRES	7590 09/25/200 TIA		EXAMINER	
POBOX 980	CE DA 10492 0090		MCDONOUGH, JAMES E	
VALLEY FOR	GE, PA 19482-0980		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
		10/537,651	LINDALL ET AL.	LINDALL ET AL.				
Office Action	Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		JAMES E. MCDONOUGH	1793					
The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	E of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence ac	ddress				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER - Extensions of time may be availated after SIX (6) MONTHS from the maximum of the second of	R, FROM THE MAILING D. ble under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 lailing date of this communication. above, the maximum statutory period of the depriod for reply will, by statute ther than three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MON ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH, cause the application to become ABAN g date of this communication, even if time	TION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this of DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·				
Status								
1)⊠ Responsive to com	munication(s) filed on <u>31 O</u>	ctober 2005						
2a) This action is FINA	` '	action is non-final.						
<u> </u>	/ —		s prosecution as to the	e merits is				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
olooda III doodidane	o with the practice and i	in parte Quayre, 1000 O.B. 1	1, 100 0.0. 210.					
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are	pending in the application							
4a) Of the above cla	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/a	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)□ Claim(s) is/a	re rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/a								
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> are s	ubject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers								
	objected to by the Examine	ır						
	•		the Evaminer					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (P' 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Pater 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statem Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/6/05.	t Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) /ail Date rmal Patent Application					

Art Unit: 1793

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-6, drawn to a catalyst composition.

Group II, claim(s) 7-15, drawn to a process for making an ester.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Ridland et al. (EP-1-120-392-A1) teaches a catalyst composition comprising the reaction product of a orthoester of titanium or zirconium, an alcohol containing at least two hydroxyl groups, a 2-hydroxy acid, and a base (abstract). Ridland et al. also teaches 1-4 moles of 2-hydroxy acid per mole of metal and 1-4 moles of base per mole of metal (see claims 7 and 9), which can read on the claimed ratio.

A telephone call was made to Christopher R. Lewis on 9/20/2008 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Art Unit: 1793

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product

Application/Control Number: 10/537,651

Page 4

Art Unit: 1793

claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAMES E. MCDONOUGH whose telephone number is (571)272-6398. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on (571)272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/537,651 Page 5

Art Unit: 1793

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael A Marcheschi/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793

JEM 9/20/2008